From

K. Ramakantha Pai,
No.81, Vandana Grand,
Flat No.207, 13th Cross,
Venkatapura Extension,
Bangalore- 560034.
Mob: 9483194760

(1)

CAPIO/RTI case
9/1/15

09/01/15

मुख्य महा प्रबंधक का कार्यालय
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
बी.एस.एन.एल.
09 JAN 2015
B.S.N.L.
KARNATAKA TELECOM CIRCLE, BENGALURU

To
Shri. R.K Misra
Chief General Manager,
BSNL, Karnataka Telecom Circle,
No 1, S.V Road, Halasuru, Bangalore - 560008

Sir,

Sub :- Appeal against RTI reply.
Ref: - Sr. GM (HR /Admin) & CPIO Letters (1) No. RTI /2014-15/C-63 dated 1/1/2015 and (2) No. RTI /2014-15/C-63 dated 3/1/2015.

-o0o-

TI PA to CGM

As I am not satisfied with the reply to RTI query no. (6) of my RTI application dated 1/12/2014, this appeal is being preferred.

Background: Smt. Uma Ramakanth, SS(O), employee at Sl. no. 11 of the Annexure II of letter referred at (1) above, had made two self contained representations dated 16.1.2014 and 9.4.2014 to the Chairman and Managing Director (CMD), Corporate Office BSNL, New Delhi with copies to CGMT, Bangalore and DGM (Staff), O/o CGMT Bangalore against the grievances caused by the Leave Sanctioning Authority (Chief Accounts officer(Bank)) in refusing/curtailment of CCL, stoppage of her pay, issuing warning etc. As the matter was urgent, advanced copies of the two representations were sent to CMD, BSNL, New Delhi and simultaneously copies were submitted through CAO (Bank) with the request to forward them to the CMD. In her representations, she had sought for directions/decision of BSNL, Corporate Office, New Delhi for redressing her grievances.

In my RTI application dated 1/12/2014, I had sought for information regarding the outcome of the two representations made to CMD, in RTI query no (6). The information furnished is either incomplete or irrelevant. Hence I appeal for the following information:-

What was the reply/decision taken on the representations dated 16.1.2014 and 9.4.2014 submitted by Smt. Uma Ramakanth? Copies of the communications received from Corporate Office, BSNL, New Delhi in reply to her two representations may kindly be provided.

I am hereby enclosing for IPO for Rs.10/- towards RTI appeal fee.

Encl: 2 IPOs No. 70C-304731 and No. 70C-304732 for Rs. 5/- each.

K. Ramakantha Pai 9.1.15
K. Ramakantha Pai

Bangalore,
9th Jan. 2015.

मु.म.प्र. डायरी सं.
CGM'S Dy. No.
790 09.1.15

Sr. GM(HR/A)

BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LIMITED

(A Govt of India Enterprise)

Office of CGM Telecom, Karnataka Circle, No.1, S.V.Road, Halasuru, Bangalore-560008

To
Sri. K. Ramakantha Pai,
No. 81, Vandana Grand,
Flat No. 207, 13th Cross,
Venkatapura Extension,
Bangalore 560 034.

No.RTI ACT-2005/Appeal/2014-15/A-38 dtd at BG - 8, the 06.02.2015

Sub: Appeal under RTI from Sri. K. Ramakantha Pai dated 09.01.2015.
Ref : 1. Your RTI application dated: 01.12.2014.
2. The CPIO reply Lr. No. RTI/2014-15/C-63 dated 01.01.2015 and 03.01.2015.

History of the case:

The Appellant, in his RTI application dated 01.12.2014 had sought pointwise information regarding the details of women employees working under the control of DGM(Staff) and DGM(Finance) of CGMT office, Bangalore who had applied and availed Child Care Leave (CCL) and other related issues.

The CPIO vide his letter dated 01.01.2015 and 03.01.2015 provided pointwise information as supplied by the concerned units in the O/o CGMT, Bangalore.

Now the Appellant in his appeal dated 09.01.2015, states that he is not satisfied with the information given in respect of Point No.6 of his RTI application dated 01.12.2014. Point No. 6 of RTI application dt 01.12.2014 states as follows:

"Whether any woman employee had represented to CMD, BSNL, New Delhi, CGMT, Bangalore and DGM(Staff), O/o CGMT, Bangalore for the grievances caused by harassment by her Leave Sanctioning Authority in refusal/curtailment of CCL. What was the reply/decision taken on her representations by any of the aforesaid authorities and whether the same was timely intimated to her".

Contentions of the Appellant:

The Appellant in his appeal has stated that he had sought information vide Point (6) of his RTI application regarding the out come of the two representations made to CMD, BSNL i.e representation dt. 16.01.2014 and 09.04.2014 and the information furnished by the CPIO is either incomplete or irrelevant. Hence, the Appellant has sought information: (i) as to what was the reply/decision taken on the representation dt 16.01.2014 and 09.04.2014 by Smt. Uma Ramakanth and (ii) to provide copies of the communications received from Corporate Office, BSNL, New Delhi in reply to her two representations.

Submission of the CPIO :

The CPIO with regard to Appeal dt 09.01.2015 states that with reference to the representation dt. 16.01.2014, BSNL Corporate Office vide letter dt. 03.02.2014 had directed O/o CGMT, BSNL Karnataka Circle that the matter may be examined and a suitable reply furnished to the applicant directly under intimation to BSNL, Corporate Office, New Delhi. Accordingly a reply was given to Smt. Uma Ramakanth vide O/o CGMT, Karnataka Circle No. A&P-II/CCL dt 04.06.2014 with a copy to BSNL CO, New Delhi. Further, the CPIO states that, as requested by the Appellant, a copy of BSNL New Delhi letter dt 03.02.2014 forwarding the representation of Smt. Uma Ramakanth to O/o CGMT, Karnataka Circle, Bangalore for examining and furnishing a suitable reply to the official and also a copy of the reply given to Smt. Uma Ramakanth by O/o CGMT in respect of her representation dt 16.01.2014 and 09.04.2014, as directed by BSNL, Corporate Office can be provided.

DECISION

I have carefully considered the grounds on which the Appeal has been preferred and also gone through the relevant office records. In the light of the contentions raised by the Appellant and the submissions made by the CPIO, I hold that the information furnished by the CPIO in respect of RTI application 01.12.2014 is in order and has been furnished within the stipulated time. However, as requested by the Appellant a copy of BSNL Corporate Office, New Delhi letter 03.02.2014 and also a copy of letter dt 04.06.2014 O/o CGMT, Bangalore issued to Smt. Uma Ramakanth in reply to the representations dt 16.01.2014 and 09.04.2014, as directed by BSNL CO in their letter dt 03.02.2014, may be furnished within 15 days of issue of this letter.

The appeal preferred by the Appellant is hereby disposed of.

o/c

(R.K Misra)
Appellate Authority & CGM Telecom.
Karnataka Circle, Halasuru, Bangalore-8.
Ph: 080 – 25362323, Fax No. 25369165.

The next Appellate Authority is, the Chief Information Commissioner, Club Bldg, Near Post Office, Old J N U Campus, New Delhi – 110 067